

## Federal Communications Commission Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau Washington, D.C 20554



SEP 23 2003

Control No. 0302615/aw

The Honorable Peter J. Visclosky U S House of Representatives 2313 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Visclosky:

Thank you for your letter on behalf of your constituent, Ms. Patricia Pullara, regarding the Federal Communications Commission's (Commission) recent amendment to the rules implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991 (TCPA). Specifically, Ms. Pullara expresses concern that, "without the proper input from the business and association community," the Commission reversed its prior conclusion that an "established business relationship" constitutes the necessary express permission to send an unsolicited facsimile advertisement. Ms. Pullara indicates that requiring such express permission to be in writing will place onerous burdens on associations that wish to fax their members.

On September 18, 2002, the Commission released a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) in CG Docket No. 02-278, seeking comment on whether it should change its rules that restrict telemarketing calls and unsolicited fax advertisements, and if so, how. The NPRM sought comment on the option to establish a national do-not-call list, and how such action might be taken in conjunction with the national do-not-call registry rules adopted by the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) and the numerous state do-not-call lists. In addition, the Commission sought comment on the effectiveness of the TCPA's unsolicited facsimile advertisement rules, including the Commission's determination that a prior business relationship between a fax sender and recipient establishes the requisite consent to receive advertisements via fax. The Commission received over 6,000 comments from individuals, businesses, and state governments on the TCPA rules.

The record in this proceeding, along with our own enforcement experience, demonstrated that changes in the current rules are warranted, if consumers and businesses are to continue to receive the privacy protections contemplated by the TCPA. As explained in the Commission's Report and Order released on July 3, 2003, the record indicated that many consumers and businesses receive faxes they believe they have neither solicited nor given their permission to receive. Consumers emphasized that the burden of receiving hundreds of unsolicited faxes was not just limited to the cost of paper and toner, but includes the time spent reading and disposing of faxes, the time the machine is printing an advertisement and is not operational for other purposes, and the intrusiveness of faxes transmitted at inconvenient times, including in the middle of the night.

ľ

As we explained in the Report and Order, the legislative history of the TCPA indicates that one of Congress' primary concerns was to protect the public from bearing the costs of unwanted advertising. Therefore, Congress determined that companies that wish to fax unsolicited advertisements to customers must obtain their express permission to do so before transmitting any faxes to them. The amended rules require all entities that wish to transmit advertisements to a facsimile machine to obtain permission from the recipient in writing.

The Commission's amended facsimile advertising rules were initially scheduled to go into effect on August 25, 2003. However, based on additional comments received since the adoption of the July Report and Order, the Commission, on its own motion, determined to delay the effective date of some of the amended facsimile rules, including the elimination of the established business relationship exemption, until January 1, 2005. The comments filed after the release of the Report and Order indicate that many organizations may need additional time to secure this written permission from individuals and businesses to which they fax advertisements. Enclosed is a copy of the Commission's Order on Reconsideration, released on August 18, 2003.

We appreciate Ms Pullara's comments. We have placed a copy of Ms. Pullara's correspondence in the public record for this proceeding. Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have further questions.

Sincerely,

K. Dane Snowder

Chief

Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau

Enclosures

l.

## PETER J VISCLOSKY

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS
SUBCOMMITTELS
DEFENSE
INTERTY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT
CONGRESSIONAL STEEL CAUCUS

US HOUSE LAW ENFORCEMENT CAUCUS

## RECORDERED SEP - HANGE of Representatives FCC - MARCHINGTON, DC 20515-1401

2313 RAYBURN BUILDING WASHINGTON DC 20515 1401 (2021 225 2461

701 EAST 83RD AVENUE SUITE 9

MERRILLVILLE IN 46410 (219) 795 1844

> Call Toll Free 888 423 PETE

(1 888 423 7383)

INTERNET

pour jum

August 20, 2003

Mr Michael K. Powell Chairman Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW, #8-B201 Washington, D.C 20554

Dear Chairman Powell.

I write on behalf of Ms. Patricia Pullara, a resident of Indiana's First Congressional District.

Ms. Pullara has contacted me to express her opposition to proposed rule changes which would limit the ability of business to send facsimiles to customers. Enclosed, please find a copy of the correspondence I have received from Ms. Pullara—I would appreciate your addressing her concerns that the proposed changes would prevent business from relaying important information to consumers

Thank you in advance for your serious consideration of this matter. Do not hesitate to let me know if you have any questions or need additional information.

Sincerely,

Peter J. Visclosky Member of Congress

PJV:klb Enclosure UA Code

Subject Code + Section

File Name

Date of Response

Subject:

Delines, Dain

FW WriteRep Responses

>From writerep Monday, August 04, 2003 3-01 54 PM >Sent >To PJV >Subject. WriteRep Responses >Auto forwarded by a Rule DATE August 4, 2003 2:48 PM Patricia Pullara NAME. ADDRI 8672 Broadway ADDR2 ADDR3 CITY. Merrilivilie STATE: Indiana 7.1P46410 PHONE. EMAIL, pullara@gniar com ms q Patricia Pullara 8672 Broadway Merrillville, IN 46410-7034

August 4, 2003

The Honorable Peter J Visclosky U.S. House of Representatives 2313 Rayburn House Office Building Wasnington, D.C. 20515-1401

Representative Visclosky.

I am writing to alert you to the recent actions taken by the FCC to amend the regulations that implement the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991 (TCPA) The FCC has decided, without the proper input from the business and association community, to modify the current law by doing away with the "established business relationship" provision pertaining to fax advertisements. This amendment will place onerous administrative and economic burdens on associations by requiring "expressed written consent" from their own members prior to sending a fax advertisement. I hope you share in my concern over this onerous restriction of legitimate commercial activity

The new FCC reading of the TCPA prohibits any person or entity from sending any fax that contains an unsolicited advertisement which is defined as "any material advertising the commercial availability or quality of any property, good, or services which is transmitted to any person without that person's prior express invitation or permission." As a result, the established business relationship is no longer sufficient to be unit faxes to be transmitted. Associations and businesses are now faced with the challenging administrative, legal, economic and record keeping ramifications that will arise thanks to the new FCC changes.

The proposed changes, which are scheduled to go into effect on August 25,

## 08/15/03 FRI 14 10 FAX 202 225 2493

2003 - 30 days after they were published in the Federal Register on July 25, 2003, will create a significant economic and labor-intensive burden for the association community. The adjustment in the TCPA will require signed written consent to allow faxes to be sent that contain unsolicited advertisements. It would even require written consent for faxes pertaining to events such as annual meetings.

While these changes may be suitable for residential telephone numbers as the new Do Not Call registry provides, they are certainly not acceptable for association-to-member facsimile communications. Associations rely on taxes as a prime source of communication and marketing to meet the needs of their members

With penalties reaching \$11,000 per unauthorized fax, this is a burden that few associations can financially endure. The proposed FCC changes are a prime example of an idea where the disadvantages and unintended consequences far outweigh the benefits. Please join me in requesting that the FCC halt their efforts to change the current TCPA.

Sincerely,

Patricia A Pullara